

Prospects and conclusions. To effectively regulate globalization, international law must be reformed, international judicial mechanisms must be expanded, and national sovereignty must be reinforced. This may involve developing new forms of international cooperation, improving corporate accountability, and implementing unified digital regulatory standards.

Globalization is an unstoppable process that has led to significant changes in national legal systems. While it promotes the unification of legal norms and strengthens international cooperation, it also presents new challenges, particularly in cybersecurity, corporate regulation, and jurisdictional conflicts. Managing global processes effectively requires further development of international law and improved legal cooperation among states.

References:

1. Lyotard, J-F. *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*. (1979)
2. Marx, K. *Capital: Critique of Political Economy*. (1867)
3. Wallerstein, I. *The Modern World-System*. (1974)
4. Giddens, A. *The Consequences of Modernity*. (1990)
5. Habermas, J. *Legitimation Crisis*. (1973)
6. Robertson, R. *Globalization: Social Theory and Global Culture* (1992).
7. Castells, M. *The Rise of the Network Society* (1996)
8. Slaughter, A.-M. *A New World Order* (2004)

ARGUMENTATION IN THE DECISIONS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF UKRAINE: GENERAL CHARACTERISTIC

YARYNA PYLYPCHUK, student

IRYNA NASTASIAK, Associate Professor, PhD in Law, Scientific Adviser

ALLA RADU, PhD in Philology, Language Adviser

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv

The **aim** of my research is to comprehend the importance of legal argumentation and learn more about the specificity of reasoning in the Constitutional Court of Ukraine. The most important point of research is to describe and analyze how argumentation affects the court ruling in the Constitutional Court of Ukraine. Legal argumentation serves as a means of justifying the decisions made by the Constitutional Court, explaining how specific provisions of the Constitution are interpreted and applied to concrete cases. This process contributes to the development of constitutional law, guiding legislatures, public authorities, and citizens in understanding the scope and limits of their constitutional rights and obligations.

The Constitutional Court of Ukraine plays a crucial role in ensuring the compliance of laws and legal acts with the Constitution of Ukraine. The Constitutional Court of Ukraine, as the guarantor of the supremacy of the Constitution, employs various forms of argumentation in its activities. In particular, it refers to legal analogy, precedents, the principle of proportionality,

and other methods that help achieve legal certainty and predictability in law enforcement. Without well-grounded argumentation, decisions might be perceived as arbitrary, undermining public trust in the judicial system. One of the most essential aspects of its work is the formulation of legal reasoning that underpins its decisions. The argumentation in the decisions of the Constitutional Court is not merely a legal formality; rather, it constitutes the very foundation of judicial authority, providing clarity, legitimacy, and transparency to the judicial process.

The legal argumentation impacts on legal regulations of social relations, namely lawmaking, law implementation, law interpretation and law enforcement. In lawmaking it contributes to developing policy, shaping legislative frameworks and balancing interests. In law interpretation reasoning is used in judicial reasoning, constitutional interpretation, precedents and consistency. Argumentation in legal enforcement implements legal norms, judicial oversight and public perception of legitimacy.

In conclusion, it should be noted that argumentation in the decisions of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine is essential to the proper functioning of the legal system and protection of constitutional values. It provides transparency and legitimacy to the judicial process, ensuring that decisions are grounded in legal reasoning, not in arbitrary or political considerations. The effective use of argumentation by the Constitutional Court is vital for maintaining public trust in the judiciary and the rule of law, making it a key pillar of democratic governance in Ukraine.

References:

1. Єзеров А. "Інтерпретаційна діяльність Конституційного Суду України: теоретичні та практичні аспекти", 2014. - С. 38–46.
2. Козюбра М.І. "Теорія юридичної аргументації: становлення, стан та перспективи у вітчизняній юриспруденції", 2012. - С. 3–11.
3. Луць Л. А. "Аргументація у правотворчій діяльності", 2020, - С. 168-173.
4. Рабінович П.М., Дудаш Т.І. "Правова аргументація: терміно-поняттєвий інструментарій дослідження", 2016, - С. 8-20.
5. Савенко М.Д. "Юридична аргументація в конституційному судовому процесі: методологічний аспект", 2012, - С. 11-16.

PROPERTY OBLIGATIONS AS PART OF THE INHERITANCE

SOLOMIIA SAHAN, student

IRYNA VERES, Associate Professor, PhD in Law, Scientific Adviser

LILIA KUZNETSOVA, Associate Professor, PhD in Philology, Language Adviser

Ivan Franko Lviv National University

Problem Statement. As of the beginning of 2025, life in Ukraine is being built under martial law, the number of deaths has increased, cases related to the inheritance of property obligations are becoming increasingly relevant, both in